



210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRA-S-4071-2024**Date of Decision: 18.12.2024**

Pardeep Kumar

...Appellant

VS.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Randeep S. Dhull, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. Rajinder Kumar Banku, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The appellant has filed the present appeal under Section 14(A) of SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities Act) against the impugned order dated 12.11.2024 passed by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Kaithal, whereby the bail application filed by the present appellant was ordered to be dismissed in case FIR No.130, dated 27.08.2023 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 342, 365, 367, 379, 506 of IPC and Sections 3(1)(a), 3(1)(e), 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(v), 3(2)(va) of SC/ST Act, Police Station Titram, District Kaithal.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant contends that the matter has already been compromised between the parties and a separate petition has been filed for quashing of the FIR, based on compromise. He has further placed reliance on the orders (Annexures P-2 & P-3) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court, whereby similarly placed co-accused, namely, Parveen @ Binda, Sunil @ Sonu, Sonu @ Chotiwalla and Deepak @ Deepu have already been granted the concession of bail, by this Court.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the appellant on the ground that they had abducted the complainant and treated him in the most inhumane and barbaric manner. However, he could not dispute the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise in the present case.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
5. In the present case, it is apparent from the record that vide orders Annexures P-2 & P-3, similarly placed co-accused, namely Parveen @ Binda, Sunil @ Sonu, Sonu @ Chotiwalla and Deepak @ Deepu have already been granted the concession of bail passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court.
6. Consequently, the present appeal is allowed and the appellant is ordered to be released on bail subject on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

18.12.2024.
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No