



132

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-12008-2024**

Date of Decision:- 12.12.2024

**Karan Singh and another**

....Petitioners

Vs.

**State of Haryana and others**

....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present:- Mr. Kushager Goyal, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. AG Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)**

1. Petitioners Karan Singh and Jyoti Rani have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5, who are father and brother of petitioner No. 2.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per copy of Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 1 (Annexure P-1), his date of birth is 10.07.1995. On the other hand, as per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-2), her date of birth is 06.11.1997. They had performed marriage on 02.12.2024. Copy of Certificate of Marriage issued by Shiv Mandir, Gali No. 1, Subash Colony, Begu Road, Sirsa, Haryana is Annexure P/3 and photographs regarding performing of their marriage are Annexure P-4. Petitioners also moved representation to Superintendent of Police, Sirsa and Station House Officer, Rania, which is Annexure P-5.

Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of family

members of petitioner No. 2 and as such they are receiving threats from respondents No 4 and 5.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. AG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of family members of petitioner No. 2, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 and 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 10.12.2024 (Annexure P/5) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5, considering threat perception, with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly, disposed of.

**(AMARJOT BHATTI)**  
**JUDGE**

**12.12.2024**

*lalit*

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |