



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-33474-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 18.12.2024

Birmati ... Petitioner
Versus
Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority and another
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate,
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate, and
Ms. Saanvi Singla, Advocate, for the respondents.
...

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner (Birmati) has prayed for the following substantive relief:

“Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to allot a residential plot under Oustees Policy as the land of the petitioner has been acquired for developing residential Sector 21-A, Rohtak.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that landholding of the petitioner was acquired by the State Government for a public purpose. Thus, being an oustee, she was/is entitled for allotment of a suitable site/plot, per the prevalent policy under the Oustee quota. It is urged that even though, she had moved the requisite applications in this regard on February 12, 2023 (P-4) and October 30, 2023 (P-5), but to no avail.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Ankur Mittal, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana is present in Court on behalf of the respondents. At the outset, he, on instructions, submits that the necessary



advertisement inviting applications to settle the oustees’ claims across the State of Haryana is likely to be issued in immediate future. And, the petitioner shall have to submit a formal application in response to this advertisement for consideration of her claim. Further, if the petitioner is found eligible as per the eligibility criterion, her name will be included in the draw of lots, and, if she succeeds, she will be allotted a suitable site/plot.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be disposed of in terms of the statement of learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition stands disposed of.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

18.12.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO