

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(114)

CR-7257-2024
Date of decision: - 13.12.2024

Munish Goyal

....Petitioner

Versus

Ranju Goyal and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Harsh Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present civil revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 07.09.2024 (Annexure P-1) whereby an application filed by the present petitioner for appointment of the local commissioner alongwith the photographer with the directions to visit the site has been dismissed.
2. In view of the law laid down by this Court in “*Pritam Singh Vs. Sunder Lal*”, reported as 1990(2) PLR 191; Civil Revision No.2752 of 2022 titled as “*Harchand Vs. Karambir Singh and another*”, decided on 18.07.2022 and “*Raksha Devi Vs. Madan Lal and others*”, reported as [2017 (3) PLR 249], holding that no revision would be maintainable against an order dismissing an application for appointment of a Local Commissioner, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present revision petition with liberty to lead all relevant evidence during the course of trial.
3. Dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

December 13, 2024
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No