



137

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-33397-2024

Date of Decision : 11-12-2024

DR GURPRIT SINGH BATH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.K Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG Punjab.

Mr. S.S Bhinder, Advocate
For respondent No.3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite the fact that the petitioner has deposited more than Rs.18 lacs on the asking of the respondents but his pensionary benefits have not been released hence, the respondents are liable to be directed to release the pensionary benefits admissible to the petitioner keeping in view the service which the petitioner has rendered with the respondent-University.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 25.11.2024 (Annexure P-16) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a

direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. S.S Bhinder, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3 and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 25.11.2024 (Annexure P-16) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

December 11, 2024
Sapna goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No