

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-62950-2024**

**Date of Decision: 19.12.2024**

Nirmal Singh &amp; others

...Petitioners

VS.

## State of Punjab

...Respondent

**Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present : Ms. Abhilasha Kainth, Advocate for  
Mr. B.S.Bhalla, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepinder Singh Brar, Senior DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioners have filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail in case FIR No.246 dated 02.11.2024 registered under Sections 109, 221, 132 and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023, at Police Station Sadar Amritsar, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in the present case, the allegations were mainly levelled against Lovepreet Singh alias Kalta, who had given a blow with kirch on the complainant HC Sukhraj Singh. Only allegation against the petitioners is that they had given kicks blows to the complainant in the present case. She further contends that the petitioners were arrested in the present case on 02.11.2024 and are in custody since then. Even the recoveries, if any, have already been effected from them and the

investigation is almost complete in the present case. She further contends that the injured has already been discharged from the hospital.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the petitioners and they do not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. It is apparent from the prosecution case that the main injury is attributed to Lovepreet Singh @ Kalta and all the petitioners are closely related to Lovepreet Singh @ Kalta. The petitioners are stated to be in custody since 02.11.2024 and the investigation is almost complete against them. Moreover, the prosecution has not been able to place on record any evidence to show that the petitioners are in a position to influence the witnesses or may abscond from the process of law.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

**19.12.2024.**  
hemlata

**(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |