



136

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-33392-2024

Date of Decision : 11-12-2024

SANGEETA NAGAIACH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

PUNJABI UNIVERSITY PATIALA AND ANR

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner. (*joined through V.C.*)

Mr. Shubham Mirok, Advocate for
Ms. Divya Godara, Advocate
For the Punjabi University, Patiala.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner has not been given the benefit of *ad hoc* service rendered for the period from 19.07.2004 till 10.09.2009 as well as the benefits of her Ph.D Degree while computing her pensionary benefits hence, the respondents are liable to be directed to count the said period also for the grant of pensionary benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 05.11.2024 (Annexure P-14) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a

direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Shubham Mirok, Advocate for Ms. Divya Godara, Advocate entered into appearance on behalf of respondent-University, Patiala and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 05.11.2024 (Annexure P-14) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

December 11, 2024
Sapna goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No