



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(136)

CWP No. 34401 of 2024
Date of Decision : 18.12.2024

Jagwant Kaur Sidhu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Marinal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the husband of the petitioner was working with the respondents and unfortunately died while in service on 09.05.2022 but all the benefits admissible to the petitioner in respect of the service rendered by her late husband have not been paid to her, which is arbitrary and illegal hence, the respondents are liable to be directed to release all the benefits admissible to the petitioner in respect of the service rendered by her late husband along with interest.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 16.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a



direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 16.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

December 18, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No