



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CWP-33395-2024

Date of decision: 11.12.2024

RAVINDER PAHAL AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

Vs.

**PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT II, GURUGRAM AND ANOTHER**

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Akshat Dalal, Advocate and
Mr. Anil K. Sokal, Advocate for
Mr. Vaibhav Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-II, Gurugram to decide their reference expeditiously.
2. The petitioners are former employees of M/s Maruti Suzuki India Limited, Gurugram. They were terminated in 2012. On account of failure of conciliation proceedings, their matter was referred to Labour Court in 2015 and since then matter is pending before Labour Court.
3. Mr. Akshat Dalal, Advocate submits that it is a case of termination of petitioners and their matter is pending before Labour Court since 2015. Matter needs to be adjudicated expeditiously.
4. Considering the fact that petitioners were terminated in 2012 and their matter is pending before Labour Court since 2015, this Court deems it appropriate

to request the Labour Court to decide their claim expeditiously. This Court is not oblivious of the fact that Labour Courts are inundated with pending litigation.

5. Disposed of accordingly.

11.12.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No