

CWP-33265-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(122)

CWP-33265-2024

Date of Decision : December 11, 2024

Hari Singh and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI


Present: Mr. A.D.S. Jattana, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the claim of the petitioners is covered by the judgment of the Coordinate Bench of this Court passed in *CWP No.11427 of 2015 titled as Sukhjad Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, decided on 19.12.2018*, which judgment has already been upheld in LPA No.516 of 2020 hence, the benefit of the said judgment is to be given to the petitioners also for regularization of their services.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioners in the representation dated 28.05.2022 (Annexure P-23) which is



CWP-33265-2024

2

still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by keeping in mind the judgment in ***Sukhjad Singh's case (supra)***, which has already been upheld in LPA No.516 of 2020, by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Arun Gupta, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents, on the instructions from Mr. Shingara Singh, Clerk, Government Medical College, Patiala, submits that the claim of the petitioners for regularization of their services will be considered in terms of the judgment in ***Sukhjad Singh's case (supra)*** and appropriate speaking order on the representation dated 28.05.2022 (Annexure P-23) will be passed by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioners, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

December 11, 2024

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No