



110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-12132-2024

Date of Decision:- 17.12.2024

MONIKA SHARMA AND ANR

...Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Simranjeet Sidhu, Advocate for
Mr. Amandeep Chhabra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Monika Sharma and Vishal have filed instant petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India read with Section 482 of Cr.P.C., 1973 for directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondent Nos.4 and 5.

2. Both petitioners are present in Court. They stated that initially there was opposition to their marriage but now the matter has been settled with respondent Nos.4 and 5 who are parents of Monika Sharma – petitioner No.1. At present there is no threat perception.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State/respondent Nos.1 to 3. He also states that statements of petitioners are recorded and at present there is no threat perception.

5. Considering the aforesaid factual position, present writ petition stands disposed of having rendered infructuous.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

17.12.2024
snd

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable: Yes/No