



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CRWP-11827-2024

Date of decision: December 9th, 2024

Sonia and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Dhanvinder Singh Nigha, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their life at the hands of private respondent No.4, who is stated to be the father of petitioner No.1.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1, aged 20 years and petitioner No.2, aged 22 years, got married on 04.12.2024, against the wishes of father of petitioner No.1, arrayed as respondent No.4. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 04.12.2024 (Annexure P-5) was also made to respondent No.2-Deputy Superintendent of Police, Panchkula, for protection of their life and liberty, but in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Deputy Superintendent of Police, Panchkula, to look into their representation (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps at

the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.
4. On asking of the Court, Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a directions to respondent No.2-Deputy Superintendent of Police, Panchkula, to look into the representation dated 04.12.2024 (Annexure P-5) qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

December 9th, 2024
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No