



119 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-32985-2024

Date of Decision : 09-12-2024

BINDER PAUL

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Devansh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

 Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, DAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the challenge is to the order by which, the claim of the petitioner for grant of interest has been denied to the petitioner by passing order dated 20.05.2022, copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has retired on 30.09.2020 and the actual payment was made starting from January 2021 upto July, 2021. The petitioner has not been given the interest despite the fact that as per the judgement of the Full Bench of this Court in **A.S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab and others, 1997(3) SCT 468**, an employee who has retired is entitled for the release of his pensionary benefits within a period of two months of the date of his retirement in case there is no impediment failing which the employee is getting interest.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that the order dated 20.05.2022 (Annexure P-4) be treated as withdrawn with liberty to pass a fresh order keeping in mind the judgment of the Full Bench of this Court in **A.S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab and others, 1997(3) SCT 468** and the fresh order will be passed within a period of 8 weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

09-12-2024

Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO