



131 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-33071-2024

Date of Decision : 09-12-2024

AMANPREET KAUR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajesh K. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

 Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner who is posted in Taran Taran has lot of difficulties in her life including the poor health of her child as well as husband of the petitioner, who is also working in the Punjab Police is presently posted at Hoshiarpur and the petitioner is not only to take care of her in-laws who are in their old age but, even the parents of the petitioner are to be taken care of by the petitioner hence, the petitioner be posted at Hoshiarpur keeping in view the fact that her husband is posted at the same station.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 23.09.2024 (Annexure P-4) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a

direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 23.09.2024 (Annexure P-4) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of **four weeks** from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly

09-12-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO