



CRM-M-61816-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

228

CRM-M-61816-2024

Date of decision: 13th December, 2024

Mansh @ Vansh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. V.S. Rishi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satjot Singh, AAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J (ORAL):-

The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner seeking grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 35 dated 07.04.2024 registered under Sections 379(B)(2) and 34 of IPC (Sections 411 and 201 of IPC added later on) at Police Station Sarabha Nagar, District Ludhiana (Punjab).

2. Brief facts of the case relevant for the purpose of disposal of this petition are that the aforementioned FIR had been registered against the petitioner on the allegations that on 01.04.2024, he along with the co-accused had opened an attack upon the complainant and had taken away his bag as well as wallet containing cash amount of Rs. 6,000/-, ATM cards etc.



and his mobile phone. The petitioner was arrested on 11.08.2024. Investigation has since been completed.

3. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner on the grounds and it is argued by his counsel that he has been falsely implicated in this case. There is delay of six days in reporting the matter to the police. Investigation has since been completed. Trial is likely to take time. All the co-accused are on bail. Recovery already stands effected. The petitioner does not have any criminal antecedents. His further detention would not serve any useful purpose. Therefore, it is urged that the petition deserves to be allowed.

4. *Per contra*, learned AAG, Punjab, who has advance notice of the petition and is ready to argue the matter, has submitted that there are serious and specific allegations against the petitioner. Keeping in view the same, he does not deserve to be extended benefit of bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel at considerable length and have gone through the record carefully.

6. The petitioner is alleged to have snatched wallet containing money, ATM cards and mobile phone belonging to the complainant. Recovery of mobile phone etc. have been effected from him. Investigation stands completed. Trial is likely to take time. The petitioner has clean antecedents. Taking into consideration the period has been spent by him in custody, his antecedents and above discussed facts but without meaning to make any comment on the merits of the case, I am of the considered opinion



that the petition deserves to be allowed. Hence, the same is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

7. Since the main petition has been allowed, pending application, if any, is rendered infructuous.

[MANISHA BATRA]
JUDGE

13th December, 2024
Parveen Sharma

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |