



CRM-M-61634-2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(125)

CRM-M-61634-2024  
Date of Decision : 09.12.2024

Shibani Varma Kapoor ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. AG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. The instant petition is a misconceived motion, inasmuch as, despite the petitioner being seized of an alternate and efficacious statutory remedy for redressal of his grievance, and without approaching the trial Court concerned, yet he has sidetracked the said remedy, and has straightway accessed this Court, through instituting the present petition.
2. In such circumstances, this Court refrains from exercising its power, as envisaged under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India. Accordingly, the instant petition is **disposed of**.
3. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to recourse the alternative available statutory remedy(ies), before the appropriate Court concerned, at the first instance, for the relief, as sought in the instant petition.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)  
JUDGE

December 09, 2024  
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No