



CRWP-11768-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(106)

CRWP-11768-2024
Date of Decision : 11.12.2024

Rakesh Kumar and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Sutikshan Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, as cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, upon the official respondents No.2 and 3, to ensure protection of the lives and liberty of the petitioners, at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 8.
2. The body of the instant petition embodies the factum regarding some dispute between the petitioners and the private respondents No.4 to 8.
3. This Court, by drawing an order dated 05.12.2024, had directed the respondent-State, to evaluate the threat perceptions, as alleged in the instant petition.
4. Today, learned State counsel, on instructions imparted to him by HC Amrinder Singh, P.S. City, Khanna, informs this Court, that the petitioners were called, number to times to the Police Station, so as to evaluate the threat perception, but the petitioners failed to turn up.



CRWP-11768-2024

2

5. This Court has considered the contents of the instant petition, and is of the considered view, that no further direction is required to be passed in the instant petition, at this stage. Therefore, the same is hereby **closed**.
6. **Disposed of** accordingly.
7. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioners to approach the apt authorities/Court, for the other grievance, as raised in the instant petition.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

December 11, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No