



CWP-32841-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-32841-2024Date of decision: 05.12.2024

Deeksha Grover

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner (Deeksha Grover) has prayed for the following substantive relief:-

“Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to issue allotment letter of plot no. 867 (8m) and 1426 (6m) in the name of petitioner being successful applicant vide draw held on 17.03.2016 and 28.04.2016 (Annexure P-4) and in alternative decide the representation dated 27.08.2021 (Annexure P-5) served by the petitioner on respondent no.2.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner had even served the Chief Administrator, Haryana Shaheri Vikas Pradhikaran (respondent No.2), with a representation dated 23.08.2021 (P-5), as regards her concerns/grievances. However, he submits that even though, a considerable time has elapsed, but the matter has not made any tangible progress. Thus, this petition.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate, along with Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate, for the respondent-HSVP, is present in Court. At the outset, he submits, for the



competent authority is alleged to be in seisin of the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, it would rather be expedient if the petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the authorities to consider and pass necessary orders on the representation (*ibid*), in accordance with law. Further, he submits that before any such orders are passed, the petitioner shall be heard, for which, a formal communication shall be issued to her, well in advance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP, and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by him. However, it is urged that the authorities be directed to decide the matter within a specified time.

In response, learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP submits that appropriate orders shall be passed within a period of four weeks from today.

In the wake of the position sketched out above and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is accordingly disposed of.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated earlier, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

05.12.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No