



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114**CWP-33345-2024****Date of decision: 11.12.2024****HRITHIK SINGH****....PETITIONER****Vs.****UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS****...RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: Ms. Amarjit Kuar, Advocate
for the petitioner (through V.C.).

Ms. Madhu Dayal, Addl. Standing Counsel and
Ms. Aashna Gill, Junior Panel Counsel
for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2-UOI.

Mr. Paul S. Saini, Senior Standing Counsel
for respondent No. 3.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 06.11.2024 (Annexure P-7) whereby respondents have rejected his claim on the ground that Gujar Caste is not specified in the Central List of OBCs for the U.T. of Chandigarh.

2. The petitioner pursuant to advertisement No. 05/2023 dated 09.02.2024 applied for the post of TGT (Masters/Mistresses). There were 7 seats meant for candidates belonging to OBC Category. The petitioner cleared written test, however, his candidature has been rejected on the ground that Gujar Caste does not fall in the Central List of OBCs for the U.T. of Chandigarh.



CWP-33345-2024

-2-

3. Pointing out entry 57 of the Central List of OBCs for the U.T. of Chandigarh, Ms. Amarjit Kaur, Advocate submits that Gujar Caste very well falls within notified Other Backward Castes.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Ms. Madhu Dayal, Addl. Standing Counsel and Mr. Paul S. Saini, Senior Standing Counsel, who on advance notice, are present in Court, accept notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 & 2 and 3 respectively.

5. With the consent of both sides, the present petition is taken up for final disposal.

6. Counsel for respondent Nos. 1 and 2, on being confronted with entry 57 of the Central List of OBCs for the U.T. of Chandigarh, submits that Competent Authority would re-examine claim of the petitioner and while passing fresh order would take care of judgment dated 25.10.2013 passed by this Court in *CWP-26092-2012* titled as '*Rajbir Singh and another Vs. Chandigarh Administration and others*'.

7. In the wake of statement of both sides, petition stands disposed of.

8. Let the needful be done within 4 weeks from today.

11.12.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No