



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-32990-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 09.12.2024

Gurcharan Singh ... Petitioner
Versus
UT-Chandigarh Administration and others ... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Mohak Arora, Advocate, and
Mr. Shanika Khurmi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Addl. Standing Counsel,
for respondent No.1-UT, Chandigarh.
Mr. Indresh Goel, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.CHB.
...

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be permitted to withdraw the petition, so as to enable the petitioner to move the necessary application for consideration of his claim under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme, 2006, as he is entitled to the benefits envisaged thereunder.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, learned Additional Standing Counsel, UT, Chandigarh and Mr. Indresh Goel, Advocate, are present in Court, on behalf of respondents No.1, 3 & 4 and respondent No.2-CHB, respectively.

At the outset, learned Additional Standing Counsel, UT, Chandigarh submits that, in the event, any such application is submitted by the

petitioner before the competent authority, the same shall be considered and dealt with, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

As prayed for, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

09.12.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO