



CWP-32765-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(119)

CWP-32765-2024

Date of Decision : December 04, 2024

Daniel**.. Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Charan Preet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled for promotion to the post of Lecturer in Political Science as one Mohinder Singh, who was junior to the petitioner, has already been granted the said benefit and nothing has come on record as to why, the said benefit has not been granted to the petitioner hence, the respondents are liable to be directed to grant the petitioner the benefit of promotion to the post of Lecturer in Political Science w.e.f. the date person junior to the petitioner, has been granted.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 17.07.2024 (Annexure P-4) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the

**CWP-32765-2024****2**

respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Charan Preet Singh, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation dated 17.07.2024 (Annexure P-4) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

December 04, 2024*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No