



CRWP-11709-2024

-1-

**117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11709-2024

Date of Decision: 04.12.2024

Manpreet Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to restrain respondents No.4 to 7 from interfering in the life of the petitioners as they are living in live-in-relation with their own sweet will.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that both the petitioners are major and are living in live-in relationship against the wishes of private respondents. It is further submitted that the petitioners apprehend danger from respondents no.4 to 7. He submits that petitioner No.1 is married with one Kulwinder Singh and there is one child from this wedlock. He submits that petitioner No.1 and her child both were subjected to domestic violence and she was thrown out of her matrimonial home alongwith her child and thus, now she is living with petitioner No.2 in live-in-relationship. He further submits that petitioner No.1 has already filed a complaint under the Domestic Violence Act, which is pending adjudication. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 02.12.2024 (Annexure P-4) to respondent no.2 for granting protection to their life and liberty.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.
4. Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Rupnagar, to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.
6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

04.12.2024

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Whether Reportable

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

:

:

Yes/No

Yes/No