



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(114)

CWP No. 32697-2024
Date of Decision : 04.12.2024

Gurpreet Pal and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are entitled for the grant of regular pay scale from the date their services were regularized keeping in view the judgment of this Court in CWP No. 21750 of 2012 titled as ***Jeewan Jyoti and others Vs. State of Punjab and others***, decided on 04.10.2013, which judgment has already been upheld by the Division Bench, hence, respondents are under obligation to grant the petitioners the same benefit as extended to the petitioners in ***Jeewan Jyoti's case (supra)***.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 07.08.2020 (Annexure P-8) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a



direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 07.08.2020 (Annexure P-8) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

December 04, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No