



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.11748 of 2024
Date of Decision: 05.12.2024**

Neha and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Mr. Amit Kashyap, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

Both the petitioners have joined hands to prefer the instant petition for seeking the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their lives and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 12 because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of these respondents. It has also been mentioned in this petition that a representation (Annexure P-5) has already been moved to respondent No.2 in this regard.

2. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

3. Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, U.T, Chandigarh, has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-UT in advance and he accepts the notice on their behalf.



4. Heard.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to the issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to look into and take appropriate action on the said representation of the petitioners, i.e Annexure P-5.

6. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the UT has no objection for the same.

7. Keeping in view the intent of the fundamental right as enshrined in Article 21 of the Constitution of India which ensures the protection of life and liberty to the citizens as well as the afore-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the legality and validity of the marriage as stated to have been solemnized between the petitioners, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, UT, Chandigarh, is, hereby, directed to look into the above-referred representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

8. This petition stands disposed of accordingly.

December 05, 2024

Yag Dutt

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No