

104

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-12643-2023
Date of Decision: 02.01.2024

VEERPAL KAUR & ANR.

.. Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Navraj Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

...

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 7.
2. Notice of motion to respondents Nos. 1 to 3 (i.e. Official respondents).
3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. A.P.S. Tung, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
4. As per the petitioners, they have married on 23.12.2023 against the wishes of respondent Nos. 4 to 7, who happen to be the family members/relative of the petitioners.
5. Both the petitioners have claimed themselves to be major relying upon their Aadhar Cards indicating that date of birth of petitioner No.1- Veerpal Kaur is 04.06.2003 and petitioner No.2-Malkit Singh is 01.01.1999.
6. Without commenting on the legality and validity of the marriage as also the merits of contentions raised in the petition, I deem it appropriate to

direct respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala) to look into the representation dated 25.12.2023 (Annexure P-5) stated to have been submitted by the petitioners & pass appropriate orders thereupon within two weeks from today.

7. Keeping in view the fact that both the petitioners claim to have married against the wishes of their family(s), I direct that if possible, respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala) may call a meeting of the petitioners as well as respondent Nos.4 to 7 in his/her presence so that the parties may endeavour to resolve their dispute(s) and live amicably.
8. Liberty is reserved in favour of respondent Nos.4 to 7 to seek recall of this order on showing sufficient cause.
9. However, if the petitioners are found to have been involved in any other case, then this order shall not preclude the competent authority from taking lawful action against the petitioners.
10. The petition stands disposed off. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

02.01.2024

Jasmine Kaur

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No