

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No. 12624 of 2023**

**DATE OF DECISION :- 01.01.2024**

**Neelam and another**

**...Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and others**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

**Present:-** Mr. Amit Kashyap, Advocate for the petitioners.

**\*\*\***

**SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)**

1. By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 6.

2. Notice of motion to respondents Nos. 1 to 3 (i.e. official respondents).

3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

4. As per the petitioners, they have married on 27.12.2023 against the wishes of respondent Nos. 4 to 6, who happen to be the family members/relative of the petitioners.

5. Both the petitioners have claimed themselves to be major relying upon their Aadhar Cards indicating that date of birth of petitioner No.1-Neelam is 07.07.1998 and petitioner No.2-Suraj Mourya is 15.07.1999.

6. Without commenting on the legality and validity of the marriage as also the merits of contentions raised in the petition, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No. 2 Senior Superintendent of Police, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali to look into the representation dated 28.12.2023 (Annexure P-6) stated to have been submitted by the petitioners & pass appropriate orders thereupon within two weeks from today.
7. Keeping in view the fact that both the petitioners claim to have married against the wishes of their family(s), I direct that if possible, respondent No. 2 Senior Superintendent of Police, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali may call a meeting of the petitioners as well as respondent Nos. 4 to 6 (brothers and cousin brother) in his/her presence so that the parties may endeavour to resolve their dispute(s) and live amicably.
8. Liberty is reserved in favour of respondent Nos. 4 to 6 (brothers and cousin brother) to seek recall of this order on showing sufficient cause.
9. However, if the petitioners are found to have been involved in any other case, then this order shall not preclude the competent authority from taking lawful action against the petitioners.
10. The petition stands disposed off. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

**(SUMEET GOEL)**  
**JUDGE**

**01.01.2024**  
*P.Singh*

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No