

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

128

CRWP-73-2024

Date of Decision.:08.01.2024

Pooja Devi Meena and Another

Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and Others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Satish Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners are living in live-in-relationship and have prayed for providing them necessary protection against private respondents through official respondents. Para No.5 of the petition reads as under:-

“That it is relevant to mention here that in the year 2020 the father of petitioner no.1 got married her with respondent No.7 against her wishes she remained with respondent No. 7 three days, thereafter she left the company of respondent no.7 on account of not liking to her. Therefore she remained in loneliness, her parents have not supported her. Petitioner No. 1 also filled Divorced petition before Ld. Family Court No.2 Jaipur bearing Application No. 89/03 of year 2023 against respondent No.7 in which summon has been issued to him, in which he did not appear on dated 15.12.2023 and evading service knowingly. Since Already petitioner No.1 came in to contact of petitioner No.2 and is living with him since year 2021, a case of sexual offences was also got registered against petitioner No. 2 which later on compromise was effected thus leading to above stated execution of agreement dated 18.12.2023.”

The bare perusal of the aforesaid para of the petition would reveal that this petition has been filed with an ulterior motive and not for seeking any protection.

Dismissed.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

January 08, 2024
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No