

[211] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-65516-2023
Date of Decision : 15.02.2024

Lichhu Ram alias Laksman ...Petitioner
versus
State of HaryanaRespondent

Coram : **HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**
Present : Ms. Peare Narang, Advocate for Mr. Priyavrat Prashar,
Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On 05.01.2024, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present: Mr. Priyavrat Prashar, Advocate for the petitioner.

By way of this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.,
petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.534 dated
10.12.2023, under Sections 15(b) and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and
Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Kaithal
City, District Kaithal.

As per the allegations, 15 Kg. of doda post was recovered
from the possession of co-accused Sanju. It is alleged that in his disclosure
statement, said Sanju nominated the petitioner to be the supplier.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the
petitioner has been falsely implicated; that the petitioner is not involved in
any such case; that he has clean antecedent and that he is ready to join the
investigation. Learned counsel further contends that the arrest of the
petitioner is sought only on the basis of disclosure statement of coaccused,
which is not admissible.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on
behalf of the respondent-State. A copy of paper book be supplied to him
during the course of day.

On instructions from SH.O. Bir Singh, Police Station City Kaithal, learned State counsel concedes the fact that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Adjourned to 15.02.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to join investigation and co-operate in the same. He will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court nor shall make any attempt to contact the complainant of the case or any witness associated with the case. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

[2] Today, learned State Counsel, has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 05.01.2024 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 05.01.2024, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[4] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

15.02.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No