

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-2783-2024

Date of decision: 08.02.2024

Jagmohan Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate, and
Mr. Vaibhav Vats, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

A Certiorari is prayed for, to quash the order dated 11.10.2023 (P-18), vide which claim of the petitioners for allotment of a site/plot, being oustees, has since been rejected.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, for the respondent-HSVP, is present in Court. At the outset, he submits that pursuant to the order dated 29.08.2023, passed by a learned Single Judge of this Court, in **RSA-495-2020 (Jagmohan Singh and another Vs. Haryana Urban Development Authority and another)**, petitioners had submitted a representation to the respondent authorities for consideration of their claim. Whereupon, the authorities have passed the impugned order dated 11.10.2023 (P-18). However, it is urged that as certain factors that ought to have been taken into account are not reflected in the order (*ibid*), and some crucial developments have also come into being, which would have a decisive bearing on the matter in issue, the impugned order be deemed to have been withdrawn. For, the competent authority shall pass a fresh order, in accordance with law, and the matter shall be conclusively decided, as expeditiously as possible. Further, he submits that before any such orders are passed, the petitioners shall also be heard.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents.

In the wake of the above, we are not required to delve any further into the merits of the case. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by the learned counsel for the respondents.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioners, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

08.02.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No