



127

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11725-2024

Date of Decision:- 05.12.2024

Mehakpreet Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Navraj Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners Mehakpreet Kaur and Rakesh Kumar have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ, order or direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 8, who are family members/relatives of petitioner No. 1, with further prayer to decide their representation (Annexure P-6).

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per copy of Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 1 (Annexure P-1), her date of birth is 22.08.2004. On the other hand, as per copies of Aadhaar Card and Matriculation Certificate of petitioner No. 2 (Annexures P-2 and P-3), his date of birth is 08.07.2003. They had performed marriage on 29.11.2024. Copy of Certificate of Marriage issued by Gurudwara Guru Nanak Niwas Sahib, village Krorr Kalan, SAS Nagar, Mohali is Annexure P-4 and photographs regarding performing of their marriage are Annexure P-5.

Petitioners also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police,

Jind, which is Annexure P-6. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of family members/relatives of petitioner No. 1 and as such they are receiving threats from respondents No. 4 to 8.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of family members/relatives of petitioner No. 1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hand of respondents No. 4 to 8. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No.2 and 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated NIL (Annexure P-6) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8, considering threat perception, with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is, accordingly disposed of.

9. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

05.12.2024

lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No