

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-561-2024
Date of decision: 06.02.2024

Shashi GuptaPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Divyam Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Harmanjot Singh Gill, Advocate,
for the respondent-HSVP.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate,
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate,
Mr. Shivam Garg, Advocate,
for the respondent-HSIIDC.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein has prayed for the following
substantive relief:-

*“Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of
the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in
the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents
to deliver the possession either of the plot No.42
Industrial Textile Valley Rojkameo or of Plot No.188
Industrial Estate Rojkameo and further to execute the
conveyance deed in favour of the petitioner in view of
the letter dated 07.04.1994 (Annexure P-17) and
letter dated 13.01.2006 (Annexure P-20) and in view
of the facts and circumstances as narrated in the writ
petition*

AND/OR

*Further for issuance of a writ in the nature of
M for directing the respondents to decide the
application of the petitioner dated 02.05.2023
(Annexure P-21) within a time bound manner in view*

of the facts and circumstances as narrated in the writ petition.

Vide order dated January 11, 2024, learned counsel for the respondents had prayed for and were granted time to seek instructions.

Accordingly, Mr. Harmanjot Singh Gill, Advocate, for the respondent-HSVP, and Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate, for the respondent-HSIIDC, on instructions, submit that claim of the petitioner would be considered by the Estate Officer, HSVP, Faridabad (respondent No.3). And necessary orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed at the earliest after hearing the petitioner. And petitioner or her authorised representative may appear before the competent authority in this regard on 15.02.2024 at 11:00 AM, or may even opt for a video conferencing to avail the opportunity of hearing. They submit that the link, to facilitate the process of video conferencing, shall be shared with learned counsel for the petitioner, well in advance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by the learned counsel for the respondents, and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by them. However, he submits that if, for any plausible reason, it is not viable for the petitioner to appear on the appointed date, learned counsel for the respondents would be duly intimated in this regard with a request to fix another date, mutually convenient to the parties, to facilitate the process.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submit that if any such request is made by the petitioner, the same shall be acceded to.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And, as undertaken by learned counsel for the respondents, the appropriate orders shall be passed, as expeditiously as possible.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

06.02.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No