



::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
(107)

(Through Video Conferencing)

CRM-M-790-2024 (O & M)
Date of decision: 07.08.2024

Inderpreet Singh Manchanda Petitioner
V/s

State of Punjab ...Respondent s

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Pranav Handa, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Prabhdeep Singh Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate, with
Mr. Bikramjeet Singh Bajwa, Advocate,
with Mr. Ravi Joshi, Advocate for complainant.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

CRM-31505-2024

The application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed for recalling the order dated 14.05.2024 passed by this Court whereby the interim regular bail granted to the petitioner has been cancelled/recalled and the petitioner has been directed to surrender.

The learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner has prayed for recalling of the order dated 14.05.2024 referring to the Chart at Page 6 of the application stating that Kamal Batra has received a sum of Rs.1.15 Crores by way of commission and has further settled his claims with all the victims.



::2::

Certain other complainants has received a sum of Rs.1.57 Crores and Rs.25 lacs had been received by Maneesh Gandhi, a co-accused. It is his contention that the figure of Rs.1.75 Crores as mentioned in the order dated 25.04.2024 was incorrect in view of the Chart at Page 6 of the application. Even otherwise, the applicant had no money to pay as the investigating agency had misappropriated a huge sum of money during the raid in his premises at the time of investigation.

The learned counsel for the complainant-non/applicant, on the other hand, contends that the contents of the Chart are completely fallacious. There was absolutely no evidence that Kamal Batra had received a sum of Rs.1.15 Crores. A sum of Rs.1.57 Crores purportedly paid by the applicant-petitioner and his co-accused to the victims was with respect to FIR No.126 dated 26.11.2022 in which the applicant-petitioner had already been granted bail. Similarly, the receipt of Rs.25 lacs by a co-accused/Maneesh Gandhi had no relevance to the order passed on 25.04.2024.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

It may be relevant to mention here that after detailed arguments, as this Court was not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner, the order dated 25.04.2024 was passed on the statement made by the learned Senior counsel for the applicant-petitioner.

On the statement made by the complainant through his counsel as the above order dated 25.04.2024 had not been complied with, the order



::3::

dated 14.05.2024 came to be passed wherein the applicant-petitioner was directed to surrender forthwith.

In view of the above, as the applicant-petitioner is playing hide and seek with the Court, I do not find any merit in this application and the same stands dismissed.

CRM-M-790-2024 (O & M)

As the petitioner is not in custody, the present petition for the grant of regular bail is not maintainable. Therefore, the present petition is dismissed.

August 07, 2024
sukhpreet

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No