



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

126

CWP-32619-2024

Date of decision: 03.12.2024

Sunil Kumar Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Arpan Sabharwal, Advocate and
Ms. Himani Anand, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed for directing respondents No.3 ad 4 to release the due gratuity amount of the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel would contend that regarding the claim raised in the present petition, representation dated 09.04.2024 (Annexure P-6) has been sent to the respondents, but the same has yet not evoked any response. He thus, at this stage, on instructions from the petitioner prays that a direction is given to the respondents to decide the same in a time bound manner.
3. Notice of motion.
4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Anil Chawla, Sr. Panel Counsel, for UOI, and Mr. A.S.Virk, Advocate, accept notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2 and respondent Nos.3 and 4 respectively, and have no objection to the limited prayer made.

5. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 09.04.2024 (Annexure P-6) within 04 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to his and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

03.12.2024

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No