



CWP-32492-2024

-1-

136

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-32492-2024

Date of Decision: 02.12.2024

DR. RASHMI

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the order dated 20.11.2024, Annexure P-1 and further, a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondent No. 2 to take cognizance and initiate appropriate action on the complaint(s) dated 23.08.2024, Annexure P-8 and 12.11.2024, Annexure P-17.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case she is permitted to make a fresh representation before the respondents raising the grievance as has been raised in this petition, and the same may be ordered to be decided within a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. R. S. Budhwar, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State, and submits that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioner within a period of one week, the same will be decided by the second respondent-Director Higher Education, by passing a speaking/reasoned order thereupon in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks of receiving the representation.
5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioner.

02.12.2024

Seema

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)

JUDGE