

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-65460-2023

Date of decision : 06.05.2024

SORAV AND ANOTHER

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Rohit Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, Asstt. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Pardeep Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.240 dated 30.04.2023 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 379-B and 34 IPC at Police Station Industrial Sector 29, Panipat, District Panipat along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioner and respondent no.2.

Vide order dated 05.01.2024 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 16.12.2023 (P-2).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 05.01.2024 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the District and Sessions Judge, Panipat and as per the report dated 20.01.2024 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

CRM-M-65460-2023

-2-

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in "*Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543*".

In view of the aforesaid report of the District and Sessions Judge, Panipat accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.240 dated 30.04.2023 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 379-B and 34 IPC at Police Station Industrial Sector 29, Panipat, District Panipat along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

06.05.2024
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No