

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-439-2024
Date of decision: 10.01.2024

Pinki
...Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory of Chandigarh and others
...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Prince Goyal, legal aid counsel
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh-respondent No.2 to take a decision on representation dated 02.08.2023 (Annexure P-6) made by the petitioner.

(2) Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh-respondent No.2 to take a decision on the aforesaid representation made by the petitioner, by passing speaking order(s) and after affording opportunity of hearing to the

petitioner, preferably within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(3) Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the same is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, she would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

10.01.2024
sapna

<i>Whether reasoned/speaking?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>