

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

109

CWP-29510-2023
Date of Decision :08.01.2024

Rubal Raveesh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. KDS Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that not only on merits but even otherwise, keeping in view Section 58 of the Haryana VAT Act, 2003, the petitioner has an immunity over the departmental proceedings, therefore, the petitioner intends to approach the respondents by way of filing a detailed reply for the consideration of the respondents hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner that in case ultimately the respondents passes an order in the departmental proceedings, which causes prejudice to the petitioner, he can avail an appropriate remedy before the appropriate forum.

Ordered accordingly.

January 08, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No