

120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-280-2024

Date of decision: 09.01.2024

Leeza Garg

...Petitioner

Versus

Shree Guru Gobind Singh Tricentenary University Budhera and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Sumeet Jain, Advocate and
Ms. Nandita Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to consider and decide the representation dated 30.11.2023 (Annexure P-6) with respect to return of original certificates of the petitioner, refund of tuition fee and security amount already deposited by the petitioner and for withdrawal of undue demand raised by the University on account of withdrawal of admission.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given representation dated 30.11.2023 (Annexure P-6) and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.1- University is directed to consider the said representation dated 30.11.2023

(Annexure P-6) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief in accordance with law.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of respondent No.1-University to consider the representation dated 30.11.2023 (Annexure P-6) in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.1-University would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

09.01.2024
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:-	Yes/No