



218 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-687-2024
Date of decision: 19.09.2024

Satpal SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE **AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Rai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Akshay Chadha, Advocate
for the complainant.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J (Oral):

1. Petitioner-Satpal Singh has filed the present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in FIR No.12, dated 15.03.2022, under Sections 307, 341 and 34 IPC (Section 302 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Jodhan, District Ludhiana.
2. As per the facts of the case, Daljeet Singh-complainant gave his statement to the police that his father have five brothers, one of his brother namely Gurdev Singh retired from Army and for the last 15 years he was serving as guard in Punjab and Sind Bank, Ludhiana. As per his routine, he used to go on his motor-cycle No.PB10-DT-1330, a black coloured Marka Splender, at about 8.00 a.m. to Ludhiana and he used to return home at about 6.00 p.m. On the date of occurrence, his uncle had left the house in routine and in the evening at about 5.30 p.m. he received a



of two cars and was given serious injuries on his head with sword and he was lying in injured condition near Gill Brick Kiln Chhokra Chowk. On this, he reached on the spot. His uncle was lying unconscious. They shifted him to Mediways Hospital for treatment, who ultimately expired on 22.03.2022.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that there was no eye witness to the occurrence. Allegations against the present petitioner are false and without any basis. He had surrendered in the Court on 28.03.2022 and since then he is behind the bars. There is no direct evidence against him. He will abide by the terms of bail order, therefore, his regular bail application may be allowed.

4. The application is opposed by learned counsel representing State along with learned counsel appearing for the complainant. It is argued that name of present petitioner came into light during investigation. Statements of the witnesses were recorded. Present petitioner along with other co-accused had caused serious injuries to the deceased victim which ultimately resulted into his death. On completion of investigation, challan was presented in the Court on 10.06.2022. Charge sheet was framed on 23.09.2022 and at present six witnesses have been examined. Considering the gravity of offence, present petitioner is not entitled to be released on bail.

5. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record.

6. It is matter of record that name of present petitioner came into light during investigation. He is facing trial along with other co-accused.



22.03.2022. Along with the petition, copy of MLR and postmortem of the deceased are annexed as Annexures P-2 and P-3. During the course of trial, prosecution has already examined six witnesses. Their statements are annexed with the petition as Annexures P-8 to P-13. Out of the aforesaid witnesses, Karamjit Singh (Annexure P-12) and Manjeet Singh (Annexure P-13) have narrated facts about the occurrence. Remaining evidence is yet to be recorded.

7. Considering the gravity of offence, I do not find a fit case for grant of regular bail and the same is accordingly dismissed.

8. However, considering the custody period, learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

19.09.2024
monika

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes /No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes /No |