

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

107

CWP-29473-2023  
Date of Decision :08.01.2024

Monu ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Singh Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.

\* \* \*

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents with a plea that 05 marks for no family member in Government job under the socio-economic criteria were inadvertently withdrawn by the petitioner under a wrong impression though, the petitioner is duly entitled for the said 05 marks in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

Ordered accordingly.

January 08, 2024  
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No