

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101

CRA-S-3956-2024

Date of Decision : December 04, 2024

RAMAVTAR

-APPELLANT

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Santosh Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Through this second appeal, the appellant again assails the order dated 14.10.2024, whereby, the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak, has declined to grant him anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.618 dated 10.10.2024, under Sections 115(2), 117(3), 127(2), 308(2), 351(3) of the B.N.S., and, Section 3(2)(va) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, registered at P.S. City Rohtak.

2. The appellant had earlier also accessed this Court through filing CRA-S-3395-2024, thus challenging the order (supra), however, he could not secure the desired relief. Now, on account of the supervening events, i.e. a compromise becoming entered between the parties concerned, the appellant has again approached this Court through this second appeal.

3. This Court is of the opinion that, this second appeal is not

maintainable, inasmuch as, the apt remedy for the appellant to secure the yearned for relief of anticipatory bail on the basis of supervening events (supra) is in fact to re-access the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge concerned. Therefore, the appellant is relegated to the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge concerned for claiming the relief of anticipatory bail.

4. Disposed of accordingly.

December 04, 2024
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No