

[215] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-65157-2023

Date of Decision : 12.02.2024

Gursewak Singh @ Jaswinder Singh ...Petitioner

versus

State of PunjabRespondent

Coram : **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present : Mr. Gurinder Singh Hayer, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On 04.01.2024, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Present: Mr. Gurinder Singh Hayer, Advocate for the petitioner.

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.0137 dated 22.09.2022 registered under Sections 365, 323, 506, 341, 148, 149 of the IPC (Section 325 of the IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Gidderbaha, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

As per allegations, complainant Lovepreet Singh and Pooja Rani were married who agreed to get divorce by mutual consent. Complainant had gone to the place of Pooja Rani to complete the formalities of settlement. It is alleged that as the complainant reached the spot Pooja Rani called her brother Manmeet Singh (co-accused) and others who gave beatings to the complainant.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is not named in the FIR; that complainant later on made supplementary statement (Annexure P-2) on 10.02.2023 i.e. after more than 04 months from the date of occurrence which had taken place on 22.09.2022, naming the petitioner to be one of the assailants. Learned counsel further contends that co-accused Jagmeet Singh has already been allowed the benefit of anticipatory bail vide order dated 22.02.2023 passed by this Court in CRM-M-50202-2022 (Annexure P-3).

Notice of motion.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent- State. Adjourned to 12.02.2024. In the meantime, in case of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. However, it is directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

[2] Today, learned State Counsel, has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 04.01.2024 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 04.01.2024, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[4] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

12.02.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No