

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

108

CWP-29551-2023

Date of decision: 05.02.2024

URMILA AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Ajit Kumar Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Ram Darshan Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Narinder Singh Behgal, Asstt. A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate
for the respondents No.2 to 5-UHBVNL.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition invokes writ jurisdiction of this Court for seeking compensation of Rs. 1 crore on account of death of one Devender Singh, due to electrocution that occurred owing to the negligence on the part of the respondents.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners contends that on 27.04.2023, at about 1:00 p.m. when Devender Singh (deceased) was working in his fields for cutting crops through machine where a loose electric wire was passing through the fields of the petitioners and which was nearby to the land and consequently the deceased came in contact with the machine whereupon deceased

Devender Singh got electrocuted. Thereafter, immediately the deceased was admitted in Govt. Hospital where the doctors declared him as dead. On the same day i.e. 27.04.2023, a complaint was made to the Station House Officer, Police Station Charkhi Dadri where a DDR No. 30 dated 27.04.2023 has been registered. The petitioner No.1 made an application to the respondents for grant of compensation on account of death of her husband but no action has been taken by the respondents so far.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Narinder Singh Behgal, Asstt. A.G. Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.2 to 5-UHBVNL.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents contends that the incident is dated 27.04.2023 and that the policy dated 08.07.2019 notified by the UHBVNL relating to compensation to the victims for fatal/non-fatal accidents was then in force and that in the event of the petitioner submitting their claim before the competent authority as per the policy, the same shall be considered and an expeditious decision in terms of the above policy shall be taken thereupon.

The aforesaid contention/offer is accepted by the counsel for the petitioner without prejudice to his rights.

The present petition is thus disposed of with the consent of the respective parties and without prejudice to their respective rights and without commenting on the merits of their respective claims

granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent authorities for seeking disbursement of compensation in terms of applicable policy.

Needless to mention that in the event of filing of such claim/representation by the petitioner before the competent authority as per the policy, the same shall be decided expeditiously by the competent authority preferably within a period of 04 months from the date of filing of the such claim/representation, after granting an opportunity of hearing to the respective parties.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

FEBRUARY 05, 2024
Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No