

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

2024:PHHC:161284



CRM-M-60548-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 03.12.2024

Bachna Ram ...Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Haryana and others ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE**

Present:- Mr. S.K.Liberhan, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

* * * *

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner, who happens to be the complainant/victim, is essentially of non-registration of offence(s) by the concerned police authorities against the private respondents despite the said authorities having been informed of commission of cognizable offence(s).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner wants action to be taken against the private respondents with regard to the commission of alleged offence(s) in accordance with law in view of the application/complaint dated 22.10.2024 (Annexure P-1) made by him to the police authorities.

3. The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) 2023 provides for ample remedy to the complainant arising out of the cause of non-registration of case as well as non-conduction of investigation in a free, fair and expeditious manner. The provision of Section 156(3) of the Code of

Criminal Procedure (Section 175 of BNSS) and Section 200 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Section 223 of BNSS) can very well be invoked by the complainant/victim by filing an application before the Magistrate of the area concerned.

4. The petitioner does not appear to have availed either of the aforesaid remedies. Even the law on this point is clear in terms of judgment rendered by Constitution Bench of Apex Court in *Lalita Kumari vs. State of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC 1*, wherein it has been categorically held that once the information/complaint reveals commission of cognizable offence, registration of an FIR is mandatory. However, the Constitution Bench of the Apex Court has made certain exceptions to the extent that police can conduct enquiry before registration of FIR but only to ascertain whether cognizable offence has been committed or not especially in complicated offences and special offences etc.

5. In view of the above, this Court declines interference and relegates the complainant/victim to avail the aforesaid remedies before the Magistrate of the area concerned.

6. With these observations, the petition stands disposed of.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

03.12.2024

ravinder

Whether speaking reasoned	√Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No/√