

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.116 of 2024
Date of Decision: 10.01.2024

Kundan Singh
...Revisionist-Petitioner
Versus
Simarjit Kaur & others
...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Ms. Jasneet Mehra, Advocate, appearing for
Ms. Rishma Verma, Advocate,
for the revisionist-petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(ORAL)

By filing the instant revision-petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner-defendant (here-in-after to be referred as ‘the petitioner’) has sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of a direction to learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Jalandhar (for short, ‘the trial Court’) to decide *Civil Suit No.1029 of 2020* titled as “*Simarjit Kaur & Others vs. Kundan Singh*”, as filed by the respondents-plaintiffs against him, within some specific time frame and has averred that he is a Non-Resident Indian and is suffering great hardship because of his having been dragged in the above-said litigation.

2. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in the present revision-petition and have also perused the file carefully.
3. Keeping in view the fact that as mentioned in the Memo of Parties in this petition, the petitioner is 74 years old and thus, happens to be

senior citizen and also the fact that the afore-referred Civil Suit is pending adjudication for the last more than three years and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the said Suit, the revision-petition in hand is, hereby, disposed of with a direction to the concerned trial Court to conclude the proceedings in the above-mentioned Civil Suit and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

10.01.2024
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>