



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

**Sr. No.104**

**CM-5577-LPA-2023 in/and**  
**LPA-2228-2023**

**Date of decision: 26.11.2024**

Basheshar Dayal

....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

\* \* \*

**DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)**


1. On 29.07.2024, this Court passed the following interim order:-

“A perusal of the order sheets reveals that on 28.02.2024 this appeal had come up for hearing for the first time before a Co-ordinate Bench but in view of the written request circulated on behalf of learned counsel for the appellant, the same was adjourned to 06.05.2024.

On 06.05.2024 on request made by learned counsel for the appellant last opportunity was granted to him to argue the matter.

In spite of the above, even today, a written request for an adjournment has been circulated by learned counsel for the appellant on the ground that he is out of station.

In the light of the earlier orders passed by this Court which includes grant of last opportunity to the appellant to argue the matter, though in the



**CM-5577-LPA-2023 in/and**  
**LPA-2228-2023**

**-2-**

interest of justice, we adjourn the matter to 26.11.2024 but the same shall be subject to payment of Rs.5,000/- as costs, to be deposited with the Poor Patient Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh.”

- 2. As per office report, no proof of deposit of the costs awarded through the afore-quoted order has been filed.
- 3. There is also no appearance on behalf of the appellant.
- 4. Dismissed.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)**  
**JUDGE**

**November 26, 2024**  
*Jyoti 1*

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |