



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.11689 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.12.2024**

Harmanpreet Kaur Batth and others

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Mr. Mukul Goyal, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioners have sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing respondents No.1 and 2 to protect their lives and liberty, while averring that they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.3 to 6, who happen to be the husband, brothers-in-law and the wife of one of the brothers-in-law of petitioner No.1, respectively and respondent No.3 is involved in about 15 (fifteen) criminal cases and petitioner No.1 has already moved a representation (Annexure P-1) to respondent No.2 in this regard.

2. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 and 2 only.

3. Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 in this



case in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance and she accepts the notice on their behalf.

4. Heard.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to the issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to look into and take appropriate action on the said representation of petitioner No.1, i.e Annexure P-1.

6. Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

7. Keeping in view the intent of the fundamental right as enshrined in Article 21 of the Constitution of India which ensures the protection of life and liberty to the citizens as well as the afore-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the allegations/averments as canvassed by the petitioners in the instant petition, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur, is, hereby, directed to look into the above-referred representation (Annexure P-1) of petitioner No.1 and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

8. This petition stands disposed of accordingly.

December 05, 2024

Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No