

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRWP-12495-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 8.1.2024

Pooja Rani

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Ajay Partap Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if a time bound direction is given to the competent authority to look into the matter and protect personal liberty of the petitioner from the hands of respondents No.4 and 5, with whom, the petitioner is already having civil litigation wherein injunction order (Annexure P-9) is passed in favour of the petitioner.

2. Notice of motion.
3. Mr. Gursharan Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State and on instructions from ASI Mohan Lal submits that actually, a property dispute is going on between the parties who are closely related to each other and in the given circumstances, no ground for interference is made out.
4. In view of above and without going into the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to look into the matter and to consider representation (Annexure P-10) already

made by the petitioner and to do the needful in accordance with law and to provide necessary protection to the petitioner in case situation so warrants. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner intends to get implemented order (Annexure P-9) passed by the Civil Court, it has to approach the same Court to seek legal remedy available under law.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

January 8, 2024
Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No