


2024:PHHC:168958



262

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-60157-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.12.2024

Bharat Vaid

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ankur Bansal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J.

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.60 dated
22.10.2018 under Sections 406 & 498-A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860
(for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station PS Women, District Police
Commissionerate Jalandhar and all the subsequent proceedings arising out
of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 29.08.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2024:PHHC:168958



2. The following order was passed on 02.12.2024: -

“Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of the FIR No. 60 dated 22.10.2018, registered under Sections 406/498-A of Indian Penal Code at Police Station PS Women, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 29.08.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion returnable for 17.12.2024.


Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1-State and Mr. Ankur Bansal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of complainant-respondent No. 2 and filed his memo of appearance and undertakes to file power of attorney on or before the next date of hearing. Memo of appearance is taken on record. Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 admits the factum of compromise.

Service is complete.

In the meantime, Parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within a period of one week or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of the compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 17.12.2024.

A copy of this order be sent to learned trial

2024:PHHC:168958



Court/Illaqa Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63* and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.60 dated 22.10.2018 under Sections 406 & 498-A of IPC, registered at Police Station PS Women, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

[HARPREET SINGH BRAR]
JUDGE

17.12.2024
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No