

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

104

2024:PHHC:000760
CWP No.148 of 2024
Date of Decision:08.01.2024

Ashok Kumar

....Petitioner

VS.

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate and
Mr. Keshav Chadha, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms. Shweta Nahata, Senior Panel Counsel
for the Union of India

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to issue travel document to enable him to visit India to face trial.
2. The petitioner is a resident of Jalandhar, however, at present is staying in Italy. The petitioner has been implicated in three FIRs i.e. FIR No. 111 dated 27.04.2008, FIR No. 10 dated 19.01.2007 and FIR No. 230 dated 14.05.2000. The petitioner has applied for emergency certificate/travel document. The petitioner wants to come to India to face trial. The passport of the petitioner has already expired.
3. Ms. Shweta Nahata, Senior Penal Counsel for Union of India, who on advance notice is present in Court, submits that passport cannot be issued to the petitioner, however, emergency certificate/travel document

would be issued as and when he applies.

4. In the wake of statement of counsel for Union of India, the petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

08.01.2024
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No